OSBORN NESBITT, Sr., CHAIRMAN
Sherri L. Washington, Commissioner Post I
Dr. Doreen Williams, Commissioner Post II



<u>DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE</u> MICHELLE IRIZARRY, CFO TELEPHONE: 770-278-7555 FACSIMILE: 770-278-8910

Addendum No. 3

ITB No. 24-15 COURTESY PARKWAY EXTENSION FROM FLAT SHOALS RD TO OLD COVINGTON

September 12, 2024

ITB #24-15 is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. THIS ITB IS HEREBY EXTENDED TO 2:00 PM, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2024.
- 2. THE DEADLINE FOR QUESTIONS IS HEREBY EXTENDED TO 2:00 PM, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2024.
- 3. A REVISED BID SCHEDULE WILL BE POSTED WITH AN ADDENDUM AT A LATER DATE.
- 4. Below are questions received and corresponding answers:
 - A. Question: As per Typical Sections TS-1E and TS-1F (Dwg 05-0003) at various stations it is mentioned to use Plain PC Concrete Pavement, 8.0 "thick. But there is no line item under Summary of Quantities or Bid Schedule items. Can the County please clarify and add the line item with quantity in the Bid Schedule items.

Answer: The bid schedule will be revised as needed.

B. Question: In Bid schedule items, Bid item # 35 Borrow Excavation, Incl Material the quantity listed is 312,608 CY while in the plans provided in Summary of Quantities, Borrow Excavation, Incl Material the quantity shown is 213,608 CY. Can the County please clarify the correct quantity?

Answer: Bid item will be updated

C. Question: On drawing no. 04-0001 Note 23 states that, "No solid rock was encountered at or near the proposed roadway grade." The bidder requests the geotechnical borings and report, verifying this statement. Secondly, bid item 1005 has listed the following rock quantity: 1005 670-9450 ROCK EXCAVATION CY 200
Is this rock quantity action and for utility transh executation and if so, what areas is this

Is this rock quantity anticipated for utility trench excavation, and if so, what areas is this anticipated?

OSBORN NESBITT, Sr., CHAIRMAN
Sherri L. Washington, Commissioner Post I
Dr. Doreen Williams, Commissioner Post II



<u>DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE</u> MICHELLE IRIZARRY, CFO TELEPHONE: 770-278-7555 FACSIMILE: 770-278-8910

<u>Answer</u>: Geotechnical reports are on the website for review. This quantity is for water utility relocation.

D. Question: In the construction layout, in drawing no. 11-0001, there is missing curved data for 9A. This information is needed to build the alignment for Mission Ridge Rd which is shown within the construction. Additionally on the same construction drawing, the alignment for Iris Dr. does not match the location of the alignment along the road. The PT station at the end of curve #3 appears to be incorrect.

Answer: Curve 9A data will be added

E. Question: On drawing no. 13-0005, is the contractor required to stake the clearing limits along the required right away or at the Cut / Fill line? It appears the REQD R/W is behind the existing fence.

<u>Answer</u>: Clearing limits are allowed within the REQD R/W unless protected by Orange Barrier Fence (OBF).

F. Question: On drawing # 13-0007, the REQD R/W is further back from the Cut/Fill line. Is the contractor required to stake the clearing limits at the required right away?

Answer: Clearing limits are allowed within the REQD R/W

G. Question: Would the County please establish an undercut pay item since the contractor has no way of knowing at the time of the bid if those areas will be dry or not during construction?

Answer: Undercut for walls is detailed and quantified on 32 series plans

H. Question: Would the County please increase the filter fabric pay item to account for this additional SY of filter fabric? Since the contractor has no way of knowing at the time of the bid if those areas will be dry or not during construction?

Answer: Please refer to specific sheet(s) and/or pay items and resubmit question

<u>I.</u> <u>Question</u>: General note #26 recommends an additional 4" of GAB to be set up and placed at the direction of the engineer at various locations along Courtesy Pkwy. Has this additional quantity been accounted for in the bid quantity of 28,772 TN?

Answer: Should additional GAB required as directed by the engineer, the price for the GAB will be paid for according to the unit price of the bid awarded.

OSBORN NESBITT, Sr., CHAIRMAN
Sherri L. Washington, Commissioner Post I
Dr. Doreen Williams, Commissioner Post II



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE MICHELLE IRIZARRY, CFO TELEPHONE: 770-278-7555

FACSIMILE: 770-278-8910

J. Question: In the plans on drawing 06-0001 the GAB summary of quantity shows a quantity of 28,857 tons, yet the bid schedule in the proposal shows 28,772 tons. Would the County please clarify which is correct?

Answer: Bid schedule will be revised as necessary.

K. Question: In the plans on drawing 06-0001 the wall GAB summary of quantity for both walls #1 and #2 are shown in SY, yet the bid schedule in the proposal has the same quantities listed as tons. Would the County please clarify which is correct?

Answer: Bid schedule will be revised to match pay item and units on wall plans.

L. Question: The contract duration is listed as 730 Calendar Days; the sequence of activities schedule in the plans on drawing 51-002 shows 30 months. However, the water quality inspection pay item shows 36 months. Would the County please clarify what quantity of months is correct for this water quality pay item?

Answer: Water quality pay item will be reviewed and updated as necessary.

M. Question: In the plans on drawing 06-0005 the summary of quantity shows 20.4 CY for Class 'A' concrete incl Reinf Steel, yet the bid schedule in the proposal shows 11 CY. Would the County please clarify which is correct?

Answer: Bid schedule will be updated as necessary.

N. Question: Has the County performed subsurface exploration and if so, will you provide a Geotechnical Report?

Answer: Geotechnical reports are on the website for review.

O. Question: Would the County's designer please provide Georgia State Plane Coordinates for each 50' station along all construction centerlines?

Answer: Coordinates will be provided

P. Question: Do the Insertion Valves (8") that are called out in the Bid Items, need to be a particular Brand/Manufacturer?

<u>Answer</u>: Please consult the plans. If no specific brand/manufacturer is called out, GDOT approved alternates may be used. Refer to current GDOT Specifications.

OSBORN NESBITT, SR., CHAIRMAN
Sherri L. Washington, Commissioner Post I
Dr. Doreen Williams, Commissioner Post II



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE MICHELLE IRIZARRY, CFO TELEPHONE: 770-278-7555

FACSIMILE: 770-278-8910

Q. Question: Do materials provided such as Tapping Sleeves and also the Insertion Valves, need to meet AIS requirements?

<u>Answer</u>: Follow GDOT specifications for Tapping Sleeve and Insertion Valves requirements.

R. Question: As per bridge plans protective platforms are required to be installed. For installation of those protective platforms, double lane closures on I-20 will be required. Can County please add in the provision to allow double lane closures to perform the scope of work?

Answer: Special provisions will be updated as necessary

S. Question: Bid schedule line item # 555 denotes Remove Structural Support, Incl Illum Sign System at Sta 514.00 NB, but according to plans the structural support is shown at Sta 513.00 NB. Additionally, the existing Structural Sign Support does not have Illuminated Sign System. Can the County please clarify both the concerns?

555	610-9401	REM STR SUPPORT, TYPE 1, INCL ILLUM SIGN SYSTEM,STA 514+00 NB	LS	1	
-----	----------	---	----	---	--

Answer: Bid schedule will be revised accordingly

<u>T.</u> <u>Question</u>: Since Bid line items # 185, #190, #195, #200, #205, # 210 & #215 are Lumpsum items, Can the County please Special Provision for what is being paid under those items?

<u>Answer</u>: Specifications for these bid items are provided in Section 38 of the plans. A special provision will not be provided.

<u>U. Question</u>: It was discussed in the Prebid meeting that all the existing structures are currently being worked upon to demo and is also denoted in the plans, that they will be Removed by Others. Can the County please clarify that all the miscellaneous structures or items – like street light poles, utilities, fence, gates, tanks, etc. are also being removed? Can more clarification be provided to Contractor to determine what other demo is to be considered as part of the scope by Contractor?

<u>Answer</u>: The County has contracted for the removal of the structures in question. This includes all above ground buildings, concrete foundations, etc. All utilities have been disconnected. There may be incidental underground cables, pipes, etc. that remain. To the best of the County's knowledge, there are no underground storage tanks or major facilities remaining.

OSBORN NESBITT, SR., CHAIRMAN Sherri L. Washington, Commissioner Post I Dr. Doreen Williams, Commissioner Post II



DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE MICHELLE IRIZARRY, CFO TELEPHONE: 770-278-7555

FACSIMILE: 770-278-8910

- 5. All other conditions remain in full force and effect.
- 6. If a bid has been submitted and anything in this Addendum causes the bidder to change the item offered or to increase or decrease the bid price, the new price and/or changes will be inserted below:

7. All bidders under this Invitation to Bid are kindly requested to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on page 30 of the Bid Package.

7ina Malone

Tina Malone, CPPB CPPO Procurement Manager Department of Finance, Purchasing Division