Addendum No. 3

ITB No. 20-06
Sigman Road Widening and Multi-Use Trail from East of CR 79/Lester Road to CS 442/Irwin Bridge Road

March 11, 2020

ITB #20-06 is hereby amended as follows:

1. Below are questions received and corresponding answers:

   A. **Question:** Will GDOT SVDBE subcontractors be allowed to help meet the 16% DBE requirement?

      **Answer:** No, DBE goal.

   B. **Question:** Will night work be allowed?

      **Answer:** Any work to be done at night must be prior approved by the Rockdale County Engineering Office. The contractor will need to submit a detailed report of the activities that are to be done at night including but not limited to the type of construction, hours of operations, additional safety precautions to be used, and all work shall be done in accordance with current GDOT specifications.

   C. **Question:** Will the County provide and pay for all testing?

      **Answer:** Yes, County will provide and pay for all testing.

   D. **Question:** Is there any Utility Adjustment Schedules available?

      **Answer:** All utility companies will enter their utility schedules into the GUPS system.

   E. **Question:** Is there a Wall Foundation Investigation report available for all the walls?

      **Answer:** Yes, it will be posted.

   F. **Question:** There is obvious rock throughout the project. Will an item be added for Rock Excavation?

      **Answer:** No. This is a Grading Complete project. WFI & Soils Survey will be posted.
G. **Question:** Does the County have a location/property available for the large volume of excess material coming from the project?

**Answer:** The contractor will be responsible for providing all borrow and waste pits for this project.

H. **Question:** Will all pipes within MSE wall envelopes be required to be Class V pipe?

**Answer:** Yes. All pipes underneath wall will be class V pipes. The SOQ and Bid tabulation will be revised.

I. **Question:** Item #435 Temporary Barrier is listed as Method No 2 (requiring bolting to concrete/pavement). Should this be changed to Method No 1?

**Answer:** This item will be changed to Method No 1 in the SOQ and bid tabulation.

J. **Question:** Item #220 Granular Embankment has a quantity of 18,000 CY. This seems to be an extreme quantity for this project. Can you clarify the location and purpose of this item?

**Answer:** This item will be deleted on the SOQ and Bid Tabulation. Granular Embankment is now included in the cost of walls.

K. **Question:** Temporary pavement is shown on the staging plans. There is not a typical section for the temporary pavement (no GAB for temp pvmt is shown in the summary of quantities). Can this typical section be provided?

**Answer:** Temporary pavement is required for this project. A note will be added to the typical sections detailing the following:

"Temporary pavement shall consist of 12.5mm SP, Gp. 2 (165#/yd2), 19mm SP, GP1 or 2 – (220#/yd2) and 25mm SP, Gp. 1 or 2 – (440#/yd2)"

L. **Question:** Can details for the Retrofit items be provided for each Detention Pond?

**Answer:** GDOT Detail D-44 addresses the retrofit items for the sediment basins and will be added to the plans.

M. **Question:** Based on the typical sections provided in the plans, the majority of the existing roadway is to be reconstructed new. Item #235 Asphalt Leveling has a quantity of 6,248 tons. This seems to be an excessive amount of leveling for a new roadway structure. Is this quantity correct?
Answer: The leveling has been verified and quantity is included to be used in both the staging of the roadway work as well as side roads that the pavement is being retained.

N. **Question:** The typical sections label the concrete median as 4in, however the Summary of Quantities (06-0001) and the Pay Item (#285) call for Concrete Median 6in. Which one is correct?

**Answer:** The median will be 6-in. as the Summary of Quantities calls for. The typical sections will be corrected.

O. **Question:** The plans contain Construction Detail PW-1 (sheet 40-0012). This sheet is marked as “Maintenance Repairs Only”. Since this is new construction, should Detail PRW-1 be used?

**Answer:** The construction detail PW-1 will be revised to PRW-1 and it will be used.

P. **Question:** For walls 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 10; the wall type shown on the typical sections do not match the wall type shown on the plans and also does not match the bid items in the proposal. Can you please clarify what type of wall is correct for each location?

**Answer:** The wall types have been verified and are shown correctly on the 6 series and 32 series. The typical sections will be clarified.

Q. **Question:** Wall #2 is shown as a P-Wall on sheet 31-001. However the bid items are for a MSE wall in the proposal. Which one is correct? If wall #2 is a MSE Wall please provide wall plans 32-series as provided on other MSE walls.

**Answer:** Wall #2 is to be a Tp. PI GDOT Std. PRW-1 wall. The quantity will be added to the Summary of Quantities and the bid proposal revised.

R. **Question:** Walls #7 & #8 are on sheet 31-001 (wall profiles) as a MSE wall, however on sheets 32-0006 to 32-0010 (retaining wall plans) they are shown as Soil Nail walls matching the pay items. Please clarify which is correct?

**Answer:** Walls 7 and 8 will be soil nail walls. The 31 series drawings will be revised.

S. **Question:** Is there an Excel version of the bid form available for completing the Bid Schedule?

**Answer:** Excel files cannot be posted to the site that list the bid documents.
2. All other conditions remain in full force and effect.

3. If a Bid has been submitted and anything in this Addendum causes the bidder to change the item offered or to increase or decrease the Bid price, the new price and/or changes will be inserted below:

4. All bidders under this Invitation to Bid are kindly requested to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on BD. 9 of the Bid Form.

Tina Malone
Tina Malone, CPPB CPPO
Procurement Officer
Department of Finance, Purchasing Division